Rosmarinic acid ameliorates renal ischemia reperfusion damage in rats
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Abstract

Introduction: Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are the main factors in pathogenesis of renal ischemia-reperfusion (I/R) injury. Objectives: The aim of this study was to evaluate the renoprotective effect of rosmarinic acid (ROA) as an antioxidant substance against renal I/R injury. Materials and Methods: Forty male rats were divided into five groups. Group 1 control; group 2 ischemia reperfusion (I/R). Groups 3, 4 and 5 I/R treated by ROA 50, 100 and 200 mg/kg respectively. The treated groups (groups 3, 4 and 5) received ROA one hour before ischemia induction. Renal ischemia was induced by ligating of renal vessels through vascular clips. After 45 minutes of ischemia, the clips were removed to make renal recirculation (reperfusion). Twenty-four hours after the onset of reperfusion, under anesthesia blood were sampled and kidneys were removed. The serum and supernatant of renal homogenate were prepared. Serum creatinine, nitric oxide (NO) and paraoxonase (PON) were measured. The concentration of renal malondialdehyde (MDA), glutathione peroxidase (GPX), glutathione (GSH) and catalase (CAT) activity were assessed. Results: The administration of ROA, decreased serum creatinine and increased serum NO and PON compared to group 2 (P<0.05). Renal MDA, GSH, GPX and CAT activity improved significantly in animals that received ROA in comparison to group 2. Conclusion: Administration of ROA improved renal I/R injuries via inhibition of lipid peroxidation and increase of GSH, GPX, CAT, NO and PON.

Introduction

Renal blood vessels are ligated during the renal surgery like renal artery angioplasty, transplantation and partial nephrectomy. Following renal vessels ligation, renal hypoxia occurs and leads to kidney injury particularly proximal convoluted tubule (PCT) cells necrosis (1-3). After surgery and restoration of renal blood flow (reperfusion), serious damages for example tubular injuries occur during reperfusion by increasing of the reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as superoxide, hydroxyl, and hydrogen peroxide (4).

Alongside the increasing of ROS, the reduction of renal tissue antioxidant enzymes and activation of cytokines take place during the reperfusion period (5). On the other hand, PCT cells generate molecules such as TGF-β, IL-8 TNF-α, IL- 6 and IL-1β during ischemic phase (6).

Numerous studies showed that I/R injury improved by using of various antioxidants. In our investigation rosmarinic acid (ROA) was used to prevent renal I/R injury. Many researchers reported that ROA shows special properties such as antioxidant (7-10), anti-inflammatory (9,11), anti-Bcl-2, lowering nuclear factor kappa B, glutathione transferase increasing (12) and peroxynitrite killer (13).

Objectives

Reactive oxygen species play a pivotal role in induction of renal ischemia reperfusion injuries. ROA as a potent...
phenolic antioxidant was used to improve renal I/R damages. The effectiveness of ROA in the modulation of renal tissue antioxidant enzymes following oxidative stress associated with renal I/R have not been investigated in experimental animals. Therefore, the purpose of this research was to test the renoprotective impact of ROA as an antioxidant agent against renal I/R injury.

Materials and Methods
Forty male Sprague-Dawley rats (180–200 g) were prepared and divided into 5 equal groups randomly as follows: group 1; Control or normal, group 2; ischemia-reperfusion (I/R) only, group 3, 4 and 5; I/R that received ROA 50, 100 and 200 mg/kg, respectively (10,14). The treated groups (3, 4 and 5) received ROA (Sigma–Aldrich USA p number 536954) orally 1 hour before ischemia induction. They were maintained at standard condition (22°C and moisture of 50%±10%) in 12 hours, light/dark period.

Method of ischemia-reperfusion induction
After night fasting, the rats were anesthetized by chloral hydrate (400 mg/kg intraperitoneal; i.p.). Abdominal hair skin was cleaned and sterilized with povidone-iodine. Abdominal wall was incised (under xiphoid to umbilicus) and then renal ischemia was induced by renal vessels ligation through no traumatic vascular clamps. Forty-five minutes after the onset of ischemia, the clamps were removed and kidneys were monitored for 5 minutes to confirm restoration of renal circulation and finally abdominal wall was sutured in two layers in a continuous manner (5,15).

Sampling
Twenty-four hours after ischemia the blood sample was acquired from heart under anesthesia (sodium thiopental 50 mg/kg intraperitoneal; i.p.) and then the serum was prepared after blood clotting by centrifuging at 2000 rpm for 10 minutes. The animals’ right kidneys were separated and homogenized by a homogenizer in Tris–HCl buffer (pH 7.4). The homogenate was centrifuged at 18 000 g and 4°C for 30 minutes and then the supernatant was used for biochemical analysis (16).

Biochemical analysis
Renal malondialdehyde (MDA) was assessed by thiobarbituric acid test (17). The serum creatinine was assessed by special kits (Ziest Chemie Diagnostics, Tehran, Iran) according to its procedures. Renal glutathione (GSH), glutathione peroxidase (GP) and activity of renal tissue catalase were assessed by using special kits (Pars Azmon, Tehran, Iran) according to its protocols. The activity of serum paraoxonase (PON) was assessed by using paraoxon as a substrate according to the method explained by Ferré et al (18).

The serum nitric oxide (NO) was assessed by Griess's technique (19). Briefly, in this method sulfanilamide solution is added to serum and incubated. Then N-naphthyl ethylenediamine dihydrochloride solution is added and again incubated. Finally, the absorption is measured by employing spectrophotometer (530 nm) and serum nitrate quantified by using a standard curve.

Ethical issues
This study was approved by Animal Ethics Committee of Lorestan University of Medical Sciences that was in accordance with the ethical principles of the International Committees for the Protection of Animal Rights Laboratory and National Health and Medical Research Council guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki (proposal code; 1319).

Statistical analysis
The values were expressed as mean ± SEM. Nonparametric Mann-Whitney U-test was used to compare the data between the groups. Statistical analysis was conducted by SPSS version 13 software. A P value less than 0.05 considered significant.

Results
Influence of rosmarinic acid on serum factors in renal ischemia-reperfusion
The serum creatinine increased in group 2 in contrast to the control group and ROA administration improved it compared to group 2 (P<0.05; Table 1).

The serum NO decreased in group 2 compared with the control group (P<0.05). In ROA treated groups, the serum NO significantly increased in comparison with group 2 (Table 1).

Serum PON activity decreased in group 2 significantly compared to group 1 and ROA therapy in groups 4 and 5 saved it at the same level as that of group 1 (P<0.05; Table 1).

The effect of rosmarinic acid on renal MDA and renal tissue antioxidants in renal I/R
Renal MDA increased in group 2 compared to the control group significantly and attenuated by ROA therapy in groups 3, 4 and 5, and maintained its level similar to group 1 (P<0.05; Table 2).

Renal GPX decreased in group 2 in contrast to the control group (P<0.05), while treatment with ROA in groups 4 and 5 ameliorated GPX against to group 2 (P<0.05, Table 2).

Renal GSH diminished in group 2 compared to group 1 (P<0.05), while after the administration of ROA, GSH maintained at the same level as that of the control group (P<0.05), even ROA in group 5 (200 mg/kg) increased GSH in comparison with group 1 (Table 2).

Renal CAT activity improved with ROA administration in groups 4 and 5, and significantly maintained CAT
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Table 1. Results from treatment with rosmarinic acid on serum factors in renal ischemia-reperfusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Serum creatinine (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Serum nitric oxide (µmol/mg protein)</th>
<th>Serum paraoxonase (mmol/min/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Control</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.04</td>
<td>2.93 ± 0.078</td>
<td>26.7 ± 4.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. I/R</td>
<td>1.19 ± 0.2*</td>
<td>1.89 ± 0.104</td>
<td>10.66 ± 1.7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. I/R, ROA (50 mg/kg)</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.048*</td>
<td>2.44 ± 0.19*</td>
<td>11.66 ± 1.093*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. I/R, ROA (100 mg/kg)</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.038*</td>
<td>2.27 ± 0.13#*</td>
<td>21.43 ± 5.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. I/R, ROA (200 mg/kg)</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.048*</td>
<td>2.39 ± 0.34#*</td>
<td>30.28 ± 4.23#</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values represented as mean ± SEM.

Abbreviations: ROA, rosmarinic acid; I/R ischemia reperfusion.

* Significant against control group at P < 0.05. # Significant against I/R group at P < 0.05.

Table 2. Effect of rosmarinic acid on renal MDA and renal antioxidant enzymes in renal I/R

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Renal MDA (nmol/mg protein)</th>
<th>Renal GPX (U/mg protein)</th>
<th>Renal GSH (nmol/mg protein)</th>
<th>Renal Catalase (U/mg protein)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Control</td>
<td>48.5±5.2</td>
<td>212.8±37.9</td>
<td>3.82±0.75</td>
<td>2.17±0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. I/R</td>
<td>98.76±15.3*</td>
<td>93.7±9.8*</td>
<td>1.42±0.09*</td>
<td>0.92±0.051*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. I/R, ROA (50 mg/kg)</td>
<td>60.29±8.6*</td>
<td>111.6±10.1*</td>
<td>5.23±0.54*</td>
<td>2.60±0.106#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. I/R, ROA (100 mg/kg)</td>
<td>62.54±.9*</td>
<td>147.5±18.7*</td>
<td>5.38±0.37*</td>
<td>2.43±0.7#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. I/R, ROA (200 mg/kg)</td>
<td>53.29±3.74*</td>
<td>134.2±13.78*</td>
<td>4.92±0.42#</td>
<td>2.39±0.03#</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values represented as mean ± SEM.

* Significant against control group at P < 0.05. # Significant against I/R group at P < 0.05.

Discussion

Renal vessels ligation during kidney surgeries leads to renal hypoxia (ischemia) and restoration of renal blood flow after surgery makes renal reperfusion. According to several investigations, excessive ROS production plays a fundamental role in the induction of renal I/R injuries (20). Moreover, decrease of the innate antioxidant enzymes, inflammation and endothelial dysfunction are reported in the pathogenesis of renal I/R injury. Because of the pivotal role of ROS in initiation and induction of renal I/R injury, today different compounds with ROS neutralization properties have received abundant attention.

Today there is no efficient treatment against renal I/R damages while the treatment limited to supportive care and fluid administration (21), while in some cases I/R injury may lead to renal failure. Although ROS inhibition in experimental researches showed good results against renal I/R injury, still there is no specific treatment by antioxidants for patients.

Our investigation showed that ROA ameliorates renal I/R injury through inhibition of renal MDA and increase of renal tissue antioxidants. In this study, administration of ROA ameliorated renal MDA against I/R, while treatment with ROA maintained renal MDA at the same level as normal group. Similar results were reported by the administration of different antioxidants (4,22-25).

ROS or other free radicals make lipid peroxidation and produce MDA. Hence, MDA is an index of oxidative stress. The increase of renal MDA is the result of elevation of free radicals or through the decrease of renal tissue antioxidants (26). Although reperfusion is vital for the kidney after ischemia, reperfusion increases free radicals such as ROS. Reactive oxygen species attack to double bonds in molecules such as polyunsaturated lipids especially in cell membrane, proteins and DNA (27).

In this survey increased serum creatinine ameliorated with ROA pretreatment in contrast to I/R group in line with the results reported by different antioxidants (28-30). Based on renal I/R pathogenesis, the improvement of serum creatinine showed that ROA inhibits tubular epithelial cells injuries.

In this research GSH content, GPX and CAT activity reduced significantly in the second group, while ROA therapy ameliorated these variables compared with group 2. ROA maintained GSH and catalase at the same level as that of the control group. The similar results were reported by using different antioxidants (4,28,30). GSH is one of the most important cellular compounds that protect the cells against free radicals and oxidative injury (31). Based on our research, arising of lipid peroxidation is the result of free radical production and decreasing of renal GSH, GPX and CAT activity in the animal model of renal I/R.

PON takes parts in various biochemical pathways including inhibition of lipid peroxidation, cleansing of reactive free radicals, drugs bio-activation, endoplasmic reticulum stress attenuation and control of cell proliferation/apoptosis (32). PON, an enzyme related to HDL, is predominantly produced by the liver. Even though its biological roles have not been fully understood,
it is reported that PON-1 hydrolyzes lipid peroxides (33) and protects liver against inflammation, liver diseases and fibrosis (34). In line with the results reported by Hekimoglu et al (35), in our study serum PON decreased by I/R. According to our study, serum PON decreased by I/R and attenuated by ROA administration. It may be noted that the reduction of PON activity is linked to oxidative damage in the liver caused by renal I/R. The decreasing of this enzyme activity in renal I/R may be due to increased free radical production. Besides, excessive amounts of free radicals can disrupt the functions of proteins by attacking specific chemical groups in proteins.

In this study serum NO decreased in I/R group similar to Hekimoglu et al report (35) and ameliorated through ROA administration. Superoxide reacts with NO and makes peroxynitrite, the important factor of inducing renal I/R injury (36). During I/R, the reduction of NO is usually caused by endothelial dysfunction or declining activity of endothelial nitric oxide synthase (37). In this work, renal I/R reduced the serum NO level and ROA therapy increased serum NO significantly. The role of NO in pathogenesis of renal failure is still questionable. It shows harmful effects due to its direct cytotoxic and reaction with superoxide and generation of peroxynitrite. In contrast several studies reveal the useful effect of NO in ischemic models in regulation of vasomotor tone, immune defense modulation and neurotransmission. Hence, the dual opposite effects of NO have been suggested (38).

In summary, ROA significantly improved the serum creatinine against renal ischemia–reperfusion injury by the decrease of renal MDA, the increase of serum PON and renal GSH, GPX and catalase activity but could not maintain serum creatinine at the similar level as that of the normal group. The detailed molecular pathogenesis of the protective effect of ROA in combat with renal I/R cannot be fully described by our research, and more studies are needed in the future.

Conclusion
ROA attenuated renal I/R injuries by the inhibition of lipid peroxidation and the increase of GSH, GPX, CAT activity, PON and NO.
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